

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,895	07/09/2003	Kimihiko Sato	01-442	8423	
23400	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER		
	POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC 12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE			DWIVEDI, VIKANSHA	
SUITE 101	II LAKES DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, V.	A 20191		3746		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/614,895	SATO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	15			
	Vikansha S. Dwivedi	3746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	V. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .					
,-	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
• == :	/ 					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07/09/2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/09/2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy of JP2002-203758 has been received as of July 12th 2002.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant Information Disclosure Statement submitted on July 9th 2003 is acknowledged. Since the submission complies 37CFR 1.97 and 1.98 the references listed therein have been considered.

15

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 1-15 are being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

10. Examples:

- a. **Background of the invention** line 15, Page 1: cooling cannot be executed due to no driving
- b. **Background of the invention** line 17, Page 1: there are an idlingstop vehicle that stops its engine while temporary stop
- 15 c. **Summary of the invention** line 5, Page 14: does not need to be a large size
 - d. **Summary of the invention** line 7, Page 14: This leads to furthermore enhancing downsizing a size.
- e. **Summary of the invention** line 26, Page 14: according to a result of detecting voltage or capacity of the battery.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,895

Art Unit: 3746

f. Summary of the invention line 6, Page 15: continues to be turned on for a certain period even when the vehicle remains stopping.

g. Summary of the invention line 10, Page 15: shows a flow chart of

processing of controlling

Note that these are only examples. Applicant should review and revise the entire

application.

5

10

In addition, the invention as claimed is inconsistent with the specification

and generally recognized pump principles.

It is a known fact in the art that for a given speed swash plate pumps can

be of fixed displacement or variable by having a variable swash plate angle. The

greater the slant the further the pistons move and the more fluid they transfer.

So, rapidly inclining the swash plate increases the capacity of the pump rather

than decrease it. In other words the discharging capacity of the pump varies in

accordance with the tilting angle of a swash plate.

15 In claim 1 line 17, it is not clear how the first control value (relates to the

electric motor) can be greater than the second control value (which relates to the

engine). It is unclear how the electric motor has greater capacity than the engine.

The typical rpm values for the electric motor and engine are as follows:

Electric motor ~ 3000 to 5000 rpm

Engine ~ 6000 rpm

20

Application/Control Number: 10/614,895

Art Unit: 3746

5

The language of the claim 1 contradicts the following specifications:

Summary of The Invention, lines 16 thru 20.

Again, this is only an example of the discrepancies between the claims and the specifications rather than the only things that need to be addressed by the applicant.

It is hereby being suggested that the inventor should revise his/her claims in accordance with the specifications provided for the claimed invention.

10 Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to Hybrid compressor control devices.

US Patent number 6,619,929

15 US Patent number 5,867,996

Art Unit: 3746

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikansha S. Dwivedi whose telephone number is 571-272-7834. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Thorpe can be reached on 571-272-4444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VSD

20

15

5

10

Timothy S. Thorpe
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700